UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/787,257	02/27/2004	Christian Joachim Keidel	APV31618A	1296
			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	Suite 850		OMGBA, ESSAMA		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	• ,		3726		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/787,257	KEIDEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Essama Omgba	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .					
•	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,10,13-16,18,19,23-25,28,29 an</u>	d 32-34 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,10,13-16,18,19,23-25,28,29 and 32-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [ Other					

Art Unit: 3726

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 14, 2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 7, 10, 13-16, 18, 19, 23-25, 28, 29 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Bruner et al. (US Patent 3,568,491), Liu et al. (US Patent 5,108,520) and Chakrabarti et al. (US2002/0150498).

With regards to claims 1, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 18, 28, 29 and 32-34, Applicant, at pages 1-3 of the specification to be known as AAPA, discloses a method for producing an integrated monolithic aluminum structure for a part of a wing skin or frame structure for an aircraft wherein an aluminum alloy plate with a thickness in the range of 15 to 75

Art Unit: 3726

mm is bent to form a predetermined shaped and after the bending operation, machining the plate to produce the monolithic structure. AAPA does not disclose heat-treating the shaped structure comprising artificially ageing the shaped structure to a T6, T79, T78, T77, T76, T74, T73 or T8 temper prior to machining. However Bruner et al. teaches producing integrated monolithic aluminum structure by providing a AA7xxx-series aluminum alloy plate with a predetermined thickness (col. 3, lines 1-5), shaping the alloy plate to obtained a predetermined shaped structure wherein shaping comprises cold forming (col. 3, lines 54-75 and col. 4, line 1), heat-treating the shaped structure to artificially age the shaped structure and machining the shaped structure (col. 4, lines 28-38). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have produce the monolithic structure of AAPA, in the manner taught by Bruner et al., in order to avoid distortion resulting from the bending and machining and the internal residual stress. Regarding the recitation of the composition of the AA7xxx-series aluminum alloy, Applicant should note that the 7xxxseries of aluminum alloys has in general such composition as attested by Liu et al., see column 3, lines 16-52. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used an aluminum alloy with the claimed composition since it has been held that "a prior art reference that discloses a range encompassing a somewhat narrower claimed range is sufficient to establish a prima facie case of obviousness." In re Peterson, 315 F.3d 1325, 1330, 65 USPQ2d 1379, 1382-83 (Fed. Cir. 2003), see MPEP § 2144.05. Regarding the recitation of artificially aging the shaped structure to a T6, T79, T78, T77, T76, T74, T73 or T8

Art Unit: 3726

temper condition, Applicant should note that it is typical to artificially age such aluminum alloys to such temper condition to achieve the desired benefits such as peak strength T6-type over-aged T7-type tempers. It is well known to those skilled in the art that for a given 7xxx-series alloy, the peak strength T6-type temper provides the highest strength combined with the lowest fracture toughness and corrosion resistance while the most over-aged temper such as T73-type temper for the same alloy provides the highest fracture toughness and corrosion resistance combined with the lowest strength. An appropriate temper is generally chosen somewhere between these two extremes to suit a particular application, see paragraph [0009] of Chakrabarti et al. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have artificially aged the shaped structure of AAPA/Bruner et al./Liu et al. to such tempers, as disclosed by Chakrabarti et al. to achieve desired benefits.

For claims 15 and 16, Applicant should note that the method disclosed by AAPA/Bruner et al./Liu et al./Chakrabarti et al. would bring the distortion to an acceptable value.

For claims 19 and 23-25, see column 2, lines 21-29 of Bruner et al. Regarding the recitation of the particular distortion target of less than 0.13 mm, Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to set acceptable working parameters for the desired final product, and in as much as the claimed method and product are obvious over AAPA/Bruner et al./Liu et al. as shown above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the claimed

Art Unit: 3726

longitudinal distortion and lack of differing inner stress levels would be achieved by the method of AAPA/Bruner et al./Liu et al.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13-16, 18, 19, 23-25, 28, 29 and 32-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/787,257

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Essama@mgba Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

eo August 18, 2007